



# MC/WHH Lesson Learned on UPGRADE OF RICE MILL



### Selected Subjects for Presentation at workshop

- ADRA Aquaculture Approach
- AYO—Winter Crop with LEAD
- AVSI Approach in agri cooperative formation
- Gret2 Hire Purchase System; Inventory Credit with WHH PFS
- **IRRI** Post-harvest learning alliance study findings
- **LEAD**–Winter Crop with AYO
- MC 2— Contract Farming; Upgrade of mills compared with WHH
- MSN Efficient Stove production and marketing
- NAG Fishery support (??)
- PACT Agriculture loan timing and repayment
- PD2 Approach in infrastructure support; Double Cropping;
- RDA Rice Quality Seed Production and Marketing
- **TDC2** Social protection fund; Flower production & Eel fattening with ADRA aqua approach
- WHH VDC revolving fund; PFS with Gret Inventory Credit; Millers support to be compared with MC upgrade of mills; Banana production approach





### **BACKGROUND** (Mercy Corps)

- Quality of milled rice from Laputta rice mills is poorer than those from Myaung Mya resulting in low sale price for farmers.
- MC and AYO looked for suitable rice mills to provide grant to upgrade the rice mills
- Provide cash grant to 30 rice mills in the first round intervention and 18 mills (indirectly through FPE) in second round intervention.



### Mercy Corps



### First round intervention (Mercy Corps)

MC organized a business planning training for mill owners and invited about 40 rice mills which are dealt by MC farmers

Of these, 37 rice mill owners attended the business planning training and 34 mill owners submitted their business plan and proposed budget.

Selection committee selected 30 rice mills for cash grant.



### **Mercy Corps**



### First round intervention (Continued)

Mill technician, MC Engineer and Program team go around 30 rice mills and check their proposed plan of renovation, budget request and existing framework

MC provided 75% of total budget requested and rice millers have to add the rest 25%.

Total grant amount for 30 rice mills is 122,135 USD in the first round.

### **HAPPY RICE MILLER**



Be the change





## Mercy Corps Second round intervention



- MC and AYO noticed that we still see a need to address the constraint of the low quality milled rice and how to better engage miller and farmers to address the constraint.
- MC and FPE signed 18 contracts for rice mill upgrade cash grant
- MC provided cash grant to respective FPE.
- FPE used this grant to deliver as a loan to respective rice miller.
- FPE and rice miller signed loan contract for delivery of the grant in witness of Village Tract Administrator.
- A lawyer from MC market development team made sure the wordings in the contract are strong enough for legal action whenever necessary.
- FPE used legal revenue stamp paper for loan contract



### **Mercy Corps**



### Main points of loan contract are –

- Rice miller has to pay back the loan to FPE in two tranche.
- Monthly interest rate for the loan is 1%.
- First repayment to FPE is at 6 months after signing of loan contract. (50% of loan amount + 6 months interest)
- Second repayment to FPE is at 12 months after singing of loan contract (50% of loan amount + 6 months interest)
- MC Program team facilitated FPE how to use repayment for group based purpose.
- In August, 2014 all 18 rice millers have paid back first round repayment to respective FPE.



### $\mathsf{WHH}$



### **Background WHH**

- 6 out of the 13 identified rice mills in the project area finally received support to modernize their equipment after technical inventory and recommendation by a contracted rice mill technician.
- Main problems discovered were inefficient and expensive power supply systems, it was found that some of the mills are underpowered.
- Outdated equipment like milling stones, polisher and missing sieve systems have been identified as main causes for low quality.



### $\mathsf{WHH}$



- Local farmers had gone to the upgraded rice mills far from their villages, which resulted in high transport cost and waste of time and labor.
- Contracts made between rice millers and project:
  - Project provided in kind support.
- 100% payback system with 1% interest rate to rice millers' bank accounts.
- Total project support in kind amount is 32,147,374 (32.1 million MMK)

### WHH Intervention



- WHH had provided only in kinds support to rice mills' equipment according to individual requirements.
- Quotation process was made and selected by rice millers' representatives.
- Every rice millers had contributed 25% in repairing. WHH made contracts between rice millers and project responsible person for agreements on project in kind support and payback system with 1% interest rate to rice millers' bank accounts.
- All rice millers had provided technician fee survey in villages, rice mill equipment's purchasing, and transportation fee.
- Mill owners appreciated the check of the mills by external technician and followed the advice of the technician after cross checking.
- Quality rice allows direct sale to market, project is planning to support linkages with Phya Pone, Yangon market.



### **Comparison between approaches** of WHH and Mercy Corps



Particulars	Welthungerhilfe (WHH)	Mercy Corps (First round)	Mercy Corps (2nd round)
Started date of project support	11-Sep-13	26-Oct-12	31-Jan-14
# worked rice mills / contacted	6/13 (2 are medium 5 tons/day & 4 small 2 tons/day)	30 / 34 1 large (20+ tons/ day), 9 medium (5-8 tons/day), & 20 small (1-5 tons/day).	18 / 30 (1 cancel)
Supported amount per rice mill	2.1 – 11.1 million MMK	1-15 million MMK	2-3 million MMK
Supported total amount	32.1 million MMK (32,147,374 MMK)	97,708,013 MMK	42,000,000 MMK
		122,135 UDS (800)	42,000 USD (1000)





\* Activity orientation meeting \* Business Plan Training (34) rice millers participated) \* Submission of proposed \* Assessed 30 rice mills by Business Plan (11 rice activity hired mill technician \* Selected from on-going millers participated), Type of three days workshop project (current rice mills) Support to \* Scoring System (selection Millers and in kind of mill \* Recommendation of MRA committee including vice Chairman of MRA) \* Recommendation of FPE equipment \* ..\..\Rice mill\Scoring Chart \* Performance in the first for rice mills - Ranking.xlsx round \* Facilitate FPE how to provide loan to Rice millers. Indirectly support are \* Provide guideline for how to negotiated between \* Milling record booklet use repayment from rice millers millers and consumers Type of \* Facilitate preparation of for reduction milling support to contracts for loan and revolving \* Provide member list to the farmers cost per basket and respective rice mill fund. repaid rice husk to \* Facilitate **linkage** between consumers. input Company for fertilizer banking system.





Be the change

Transaction of support	In-kind support to rice mill owners, quotation and selected by rice millers, hire mill technician and contract protocols	Direct cash grant to rice mill owner (75% of total cost confirmed by technical team)	* Provided grant to FPE  * FPE uses grant for loaning to rice miller with legal loan contract by using revenue stamp
Repayment / interest rate / protocol	l •	Miller commits a number of discount services during project lifetime period (see below)	* 100% repayment with 1%





Utilization of repayment	The repayment fund will be utilized for proposed agriculture activities near by some selected CBOs 1% interest fund direct to respective rice mill's VDC revolving fund.	No cash repayment but some services must be provided.	* Group committee has to manage the repayment for all members. * Team provides guideline * Used as rice trading fund, fertilizer revolving fund, etc.
Result of rice mill upgrading	Benefited rice mills have increase about 30% of milling capacity and standard quality rice traded to Pyha Pone and Yangon markets	* Increase quality of milled rice meeting the standard of Laputta market.  * In average 27% increase in milling capacity and 14% increase in milling out turn.	* Increase business capacity of rice miller * increase purchasing power of rice millers resulted in price competition between millers and brokers benefiting to the farmers.





Improved condition for local farmers

Maintained the agreement between the project, CBO (VDC) and rice millers

Overall increased sales on product as result of Improved Miller's Services (for 3yrs) such as:

- Discounts on milling charges (from 29%-43%)
- rice storage space available at no charge;
- free transport from FPE collection point to mills
- return of all by-products such as bran husks and broken rice
  - \* Farmer groups get loan repayment from Millers with 1% interest
  - \* Loan repayment used as revolving fund for fertilizer and rice business fund.





Others

Yield of quality rice milling increase (more rice out turn), Market assessment both for farmers and rice millers, Quality monitoring and evaluation by technician and staff, Increase job opportunities due to higher capacity of rice mill

\* Landless who get paid by farmers in paddy for their labor also benefit for discount milling. \* Millers passed on benefits to 1,349 FPE members through 40,768 baskets (14,126,729 kyats) in additional profits

\* The intervention attracted more rice millers who want to learn how to cooperate with farmer groups.



### Lessons learned (MC)



- FPE Decision making was greater in the second round than in the first round because the FPE was less involved in the design of first round intervention. (e.g. Some rice millers didn't follow their contribution plan and farmers has no right to complaint)
- The largest rice miller (60+ ton/day) did not deal directly with FPE but through brokers in the first intervention. In the second intervention, the miller is one of the actor of contract farming and now deal directly with farmers.



### **Lessons learned (MC)**



- In Laputta, an isolated area, there are many old model rice mills. It was important that mill technician has to be familiar with old model rice mill.
- Learning from two interventions, FPE should be trained earlier in the intervention on negotiating and business concepts.
- Some indirect effects are not measurable such as improvement in milled rice quality (milled rice from small rice mills gained better eating quality and able to sell in Laputta market after renovation).



### Lessons learned (MC)



#### In the second round

- Benefits go equally to both parties (FPE and Rice miller)
- FPE needs guidance from Mercy Corps to use the payback money effectively (easy to monitor).
- Pay back money encourage FPE to start rice trading business or fertilizer purchasing business



### WHH lesson learned



- Lessons learned on rice mill upgrading:
- Individual rice mill Business plan was based on the requirement of rice mill and its upgrading.
- Process of installation was delayed because of limited technical sources for installation.
- Many small farmers could not produce quality rice, while the rice mill owners and big farmers have high profit.
- Some rice millers do not start milling under 3 baskets of paddy (not milling for farmers' auto-consumption)
- Still, local farmers benefit from lower milling costs than before.
- Link with local PFS could increase both rice mills capacity utilization and farmers access to high quality rice markets.



### WHH lesson learned



- Most farmers had sold out their paddy with current price directly after harvest, so had no more paddy for milling to quality rice.
- In salty area, rice mills have low capacity of paddy milling due to mono cropping. As a result, they delay payment.
- Both rice mill technician and rice millers had no expertise in installation of equipment, so the rice mills' upgrading was delayed and finished very late.



# Thank you